



PROPOSALS FOR THE COMMITTEE'S REVENUE ALLOCATIONS

SURREY COUNTY COUNCIL LOCAL COMMITTEE (GUILDFORD)

10th December 2008

KEY ISSUE/DECISION:

To agree projects to be funded by the Committee's revenue allocations for 2008/09.

RECOMMENDATIONS

The Committee is asked:

- a. to note the allocations agreed under delegated authority from the 2008/09 budget since the Local Committee meeting held on 8 October 2008 (paragraph 4).
- b. to approve the proposed expenditure from the Members' Revenue Allocation budget listed in paragraph 5 (and detailed in Appendix A).
- c. to approve the return of funding as listed in paragraph 6.

BACKGROUND

- 1 The County Council's revenue budget for 2008/09 made available delegated funding to be spent on local projects to promote the social, environmental or economic well-being of the people of each borough or district area. This amounts to £11,000 for each of the 10 County Council Members of the Committee (a total of £110,000).
- 2 An additional capital allocation of £35,000 mainly for voluntary or community organisations has been made available to each Surrey County Council Local Committee.

- 3 The Local Committee also has a separate local capital allocation of £100,000, which it has been agreed, will be used for highway purposes in 2008/09.

PROPOSALS FROM INDIVIDUAL REVENUE ALLOCATIONS

- 4 The following projects have been agreed by the Area Director under delegated authority since the Local Committee meeting held on 8th October 2008:

From the 2008/9 budget:

- a. £995 for Clandon Museum Audio Visual Educational Equipment (David Davis)
- b. £990 for Family Links at Send First School (David Davis)
- c. £500 for Libertas Malawi trip (Marsha Moseley)
- d. £500 for Safe Drive Stay Alive for SFRS (Marsha Moseley)
- e. £100 for the Youth Engagement Scheme for SFRS (Marsha Moseley)
- f. £500 for Safe Drive Stay Alive for SFRS (Tony Rooth)
- g. £100 for the Youth Engagement Scheme for SFRS (Tony Rooth)
- h. £1,000 for chairs for the Merrow Community Lunch club (Eddie Owen)
- i. £1,000 for kitchen equipment at Cherry Trees (David Davis)
- j. £1,000 for refurbishment at Bentley Copse (David Davis)
- k. £300 for improved lighting in Johnston Walk (Pauline Searle)

- 5 Proposals for consideration and decision at this meeting are:

- a. £1875 for CCTV at Park Barn Community Centre (Fiona White)
- b. £2,500 towards the design study for Ripley Village Hall (Bill Barker)
- c. £7,000 for carriageway haunching at Hook Lane, Puttenham (Tony Rooth)
- d. £500 for new gully at Down Lane, Compton (Tony Rooth)
- e. £500 for a replacement inlet gully in The Street, Shalford (Tony Rooth)

(See Appendix A for details of these proposals).

- 6 Due to varying circumstances the full amount on several allocations has not been paid out as it has not been required or has been returned by the relevant groups.

- a. Ash Vale Health Centre layby - £1043 returned (original quote included VAT) Marsha Moseley
- b. Burpham Primary School - £702 not required (works didn't cost as much as expected) Eddie Owen
- c. Send scouts - £50 not required (tent purchased at lower price than expected) David Davis
- d. Wood Lane Seale, SCC highways - £1675 not required (job cost less than expected) Tony Rooth

- 7 Members are asked to give early consideration to projects they might wish to fund from 2008/09 revenue allocations. Early agreement of funds would support the County Council's aim to reduce the level of funding carried forward from one financial year to the next. Members are advised that the County Council's corporate finance officers will require **all** funding to be

committed by 28 February 2009 (i.e. for amounts over £1,000 at the first 3 Local Committee meetings of the year; the last committee falls on 11 March 2009).

CONSULTATIONS

- 8 The appropriate SCC services and partner agencies for each proposal have been consulted as detailed in each proposal document, appended to this report.

IMPLICATIONS (FINANCIAL, EQUALITIES, SAFETY, ENVIRONMENTAL)

- 9 The proposals from the Members' allocations revenue are intended to enhance the wellbeing of Guildford residents and make best possible use of the funds available to the Local Committee.

CONCLUSION AND REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATION

- 10 The spending proposals from the Members' allocations revenue put forward for this meeting have been assessed for appropriateness and value for money and it is recommended that they should be approved, to enhance the wellbeing of Guildford residents.

WHAT HAPPENS NEXT:

- 11 Should Members agree the proposals from the Members' allocations revenue, officers will require recipient agencies to sign a brief funding agreement form (based on the attached documents and Committee's decision), and then transfer funds when the agency requires. Recipient agencies are required to: account for the way the money has been spent, identifying where outcomes have been achieved, include a credit for SCC Local Committee in any publicity, and meet other conditions of the funding agreement. Officers will continue the practice of preparing an annual report on the outcomes of the previous year's funding.
- 12 As part of their community leadership role, many Members will be in ongoing contact with projects for which they have proposed and secured funding. The input and perspective of Members on the effectiveness of local projects will be an important contribution to the ongoing monitoring of this funding.

CONTACT DETAILS

Responsible Officer:	Dave Johnson Area Director South West 01483 517 301 dave.johnson@surreycc.gov.uk
Contact Officer:	Diccon Bright Local Committee & Partnership Officer 01483 517 336 diccon.bright@surreycc.gov.uk

**SURREY COUNTY COUNCIL LOCAL COMMITTEE IN
GUILDFORD**

PROPOSAL FOR EXPENDITURE OF LOCAL BUDGET



Name of Member:	Fiona White
Name of project:	CCTV at rear of Park Barn Community Centre
Organisation responsible for carrying out the project?	Park Barn Community Centre Management Committee
Description of Project: What outcomes are expected? What needs will it address? What geographical area will it cover? Who will benefit? How many people?	Installation of CCTV to rear of building to help prevent damage, vandalism, graffiti etc.
Who has been consulted?	Local installer KBO Security Victoria Road, Aldershot
When will the project be started and completed? When will outcomes be seen?	As soon as funding is available
What is the total cost of the project? Estimate/breakdown of costings.	£1595 + VAT (parts & labour)
Amount and purpose of proposed Local Committee funding?	£1875
What alternative funds have been sought or secured?	None
Has any other part of Surrey County Council been approached for this funding?	No
Has the Local Committee given funding for this purpose in the past?	No
If this project will need funding in future, how will that be met?	Community Centre Operative Funds
Area Director's / SCC Service Manager's comments	The Area Director recommends approval.
Date of Local Committee	10 th December 2008

**SURREY COUNTY COUNCIL LOCAL COMMITTEE IN
GUILDFORD**

PROPOSAL FOR EXPENDITURE OF LOCAL BUDGET



Name of Member:	Bill Barker
Name of project:	Ripley New Village Hall
Organisation responsible for carrying out the project?	Ripley Village Hall charity
Description of Project: What outcomes are expected? What needs will it address? What geographical area will it cover? Who will benefit? How many people?	To replace the existing village hall so providing a hall with facilities better able to meet the needs of the local community. Principally, the parish of Ripley and the nearby locality of Send Marsh, but bookings come from a wider area.
Who has been consulted?	Meetings to launch the project and subsequent Stakeholder meetings have been held in the Village.
When will the project be started and completed? When will outcomes be seen?	The detailed design study should be completed in March 2009.
What is the total cost of the project? Estimate/breakdown of costings.	Current estimate for the new hall is a basic cost of around £1million with £30,000 for the design study.
Amount and purpose of proposed Local Committee funding?	£2,500 as a contribution to cost of the design study.
What alternative funds have been sought or secured?	£25,000 has been raised or pledged, and several fund-raising schemes, such as the Ripley 2009 Calendar are in hand.
Has any other part of Surrey County Council been approached for this funding?	No
Has the Local Committee given funding for this purpose in the past?	No
If this project will need funding in future, how will that be met?	A fund-raising group has been set up which aims to raise the funds required, and various means of doing this are being actively planned.
Area Director's / SCC Service Manager's comments	The Area Director recommends approval.
Date of Local Committee	10 th December 2008

**SURREY COUNTY COUNCIL LOCAL COMMITTEE IN
GUILDFORD**

PROPOSAL FOR EXPENDITURE OF LOCAL BUDGET



Name of Member:	Tony Rooth
Name of project:	Highway repairs
Organisation responsible for carrying out the project?	SCC Transportation
Description of Project: What outcomes are expected? What needs will it address? What geographical area will it cover? Who will benefit? How many people?	Carriageway haunching at Hook Lane, Puttenham including additional verge works. This will strengthen the edges on the carriageway where subsidence and pot holes have occurred.
Who has been consulted?	Residents, Community Highways Officer, County Councillor
When will the project be started and completed? When will outcomes be seen?	Spring 2008
What is the total cost of the project? Estimate/breakdown of costings.	Carriageway haunching - £7,000
Amount and purpose of proposed Local Committee funding?	£7,000
What alternative funds have been sought or secured?	none
Has any other part of Surrey County Council been approached for this funding?	no
Has the Local Committee given funding for this purpose in the past?	Not for this purpose
If this project will need funding in future, how will that be met?	N/a
Area Director's / SCC Service Manager's comments	The Area Director recommends approval.
Date of Local Committee	10 th December 2008

**SURREY COUNTY COUNCIL LOCAL COMMITTEE IN
GUILDFORD**

PROPOSAL FOR EXPENDITURE OF LOCAL BUDGET



	Name of Member:	Tony Rooth
	Name of project:	Highway repairs
	Organisation responsible for carrying out the project?	SCC Transportation
	Description of Project: What outcomes are expected? What needs will it address? What geographical area will it cover? Who will benefit? How many people?	Installation of a new gully at Down Lane, Compton to alleviate flooding.
	Who has been consulted?	Residents, Community Highways Officer, County Councillor
	When will the project be started and completed? When will outcomes be seen?	Spring 2008
	What is the total cost of the project? Estimate/breakdown of costings.	New gully - £500
	Amount and purpose of proposed Local Committee funding?	£500
	What alternative funds have been sought or secured?	none
	Has any other part of Surrey County Council been approached for this funding?	no
	Has the Local Committee given funding for this purpose in the past?	Not for this project.
	If this project will need funding in future, how will that be met?	N/a
	Area Director's / SCC Service Manager's comments	The Area Director recommends approval.
	Date of Local Committee	10 th December 2008.

**SURREY COUNTY COUNCIL LOCAL COMMITTEE IN
GUILDFORD**

PROPOSAL FOR EXPENDITURE OF LOCAL BUDGET



	Name of Member:	Tony Rooth
	Name of project:	Highway repairs
	Organisation responsible for carrying out the project?	SCC Transportation
	Description of Project: What outcomes are expected? What needs will it address? What geographical area will it cover? Who will benefit? How many people?	Replacement of broken kerb inlet gully in The Street, Shalford.
	Who has been consulted?	Residents, Community Highways Officer, County Councillor
	When will the project be started and completed? When will outcomes be seen?	Spring 2008
	What is the total cost of the project? Estimate/breakdown of costings.	New gully £500
	Amount and purpose of proposed Local Committee funding?	£500
	What alternative funds have been sought or secured?	none
	Has any other part of Surrey County Council been approached for this funding?	no
	Has the Local Committee given funding for this purpose in the past?	Not for this project.
	If this project will need funding in future, how will that be met?	N/a
	Area Director's / SCC Service Manager's comments	The Area Director recommends approval.
	Date of Local Committee	10 th December 2008.